

A NEW STANDARD VOLUME INDICATOR  
AND REFERENCE LEVEL

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
EARLY HISTORY OF VOLUME INDICATORS	3
CHOICE OF PEAK VS RMS TYPES	5
General	5
Aural Distortion Due to Overload	7
Peak Checking	13
Loudness	14
Choice of Type	15
DYNAMIC AND ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS	15
INSTRUMENT SCALE	18
Existing Scales	18
New Scale	19
NEW REFERENCE LEVEL AND TERMINOLOGY	21
SUMMARY OF CHARACTERISTICS	21
DESCRIPTION OF CIRCUITS	26
CONCLUSION	27

A NEW STANDARD VOLUME INDICATOR  
AND REFERENCE LEVEL

H. A. Chinn,\* D. K. Gannett,\*\* and R. M. Morris\*\*\*

INTRODUCTION

The student of Electrical Engineering, when introduced to alternating current theory, learns that there are three related values of a sine wave by which its magnitude may be expressed. These are the average value, the rms (or effective) value, and the peak (or crest) value. Certain fundamental electrical measuring devices provide means for determining these values. As the student's experience broadens, he becomes familiar with complex, non-sinusoidal periodic waves and finds that these waves have the same three readily measured values. He learns how to determine from the problem under consideration whether the average, the rms or the peak value of the wave is of primary importance.

If the student later enters the field of communication engineering, he immediately encounters waves which are both very complex and non-periodic. Examples of typical speech and music waves are shown in the oscillograms of Fig. 1. Then an attempt is made to measure such waves in terms of average, rms or peak values, it is found that the results can no longer be expressed in simple numerical terms, as these quantities are not constant but variable with time and, moreover, are apparently affected by the characteristics of the measuring instrument and the technique of measurement. However, the communications engineer is vitally concerned with the magnitude of waves of the sort illustrated, as he must design and operate systems in which they are amplified by vacuum tubes, transmitted over wire circuits, modulated on carriers, and otherwise handled as required by the various communication services. He needs a practical method of measuring and expressing these magnitudes in simple numerical fashion.

This need may be better appreciated by considering the communication systems employed for broadcasting. These are very complicated networks spread over large geographical areas. A typical network may include 15,000 miles of wire line and hundreds of amplifiers situated along the line or in the 50 to 100 connected broadcasting stations. Every 15 minutes during the day the component parts of such a system may be shifted and connected together in different combinations in order to provide for new points of origin of the programs, and for the addition of new broadcasting stations and the removal of others from the network. In whatever combination the parts of the system are put together, it is necessary that the amplitude of the transmitted program waves must at all times and at all parts of the system

- - - - -

\* Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc.  
\*\* Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc.  
\*\*\* National Broadcasting Company

remain within the limits which the system can handle without impairment from overloading or noise. To accomplish this, sane convenient method of measuring the amplitude of program waves is needed.

These considerations led to the conception of a fourth value, known as "volume", whereby the magnitude of waves encountered in electrical communications, such as telephone speech or program waves, may be readily expressed. This value is a purely empirical thing, evolved to meet a practical need. It is not definable by means of a precise mathematical formula in terms of any of the familiar electrical units of power, voltage or current. Volume is simply the reading of an instrument known as a volume indicator, which has specified dynamic and other characteristics and which is calibrated and read in a prescribed manner. Because of the rapidly changing character of the program wave, the dynamic characteristics of the instrument are fully as important as the value of sine wave power used for calibration. The readings of volume have been customarily expressed in terms of decibels with respect to some volume level chosen as the "reference" level.

In the past, because of a lack of complete understanding of the matter, there has been little uniformity in the design and use of volume indicators, although attempts have been made by some organizations toward standardization. The devices used were of the rms and peak-reading types having slow, medium or high pointer speeds; half-or full-wave rectifiers; critically to lightly damped movements and reference levels based on calibrations with  $10^{-9}$ , 1, 6, 10, 12-1/2 or 50 milliwatts in 500 or 600 ohms. This great array of variables led to considerable confusion and lack of understanding, especially when an attempt was made to correlate the measurements and results of one group with those of another.

To remedy this situation, the Bell Telephone Laboratories, the Columbia Broadcasting System and the National Broadcasting Company entered upon a joint development effort during January 1938, with the object of pooling their knowledge and problems, of pursuing a coordinated development program, and of arriving at a uniform practice of measuring volume levels. The outcome of this work is a new volume indicator, a new reference volume level, and new terminology for expressing measurements of volume level. The results of this development work have been discussed with, and approved by, more than twenty-four other organizations, and were presented at an open roundtable conference at the Annual Convention of the Institute of Radio Engineers on June 17, 1938. During Iay 1939, it was adopted as standard practice by the above two broadcasting companies and the Bell System, and it is hoped that they will be joined by others, It is the purpose of this paper to describe the new standards and the considerations which led to their adoption.

EARLY HISTORY OF VOLUME INDICATORS

As a background for understanding the present development, it will be helpful to review briefly the early history of volume indicators. The particular occasion for the development of the first volume indicator was the setting up of the public address system which enabled the ceremonies attendant upon the burial of the Unknown Soldier on November 11, 1921, to be heard by large audiences at Arlington, New York and San Francisco\*. It was noted in some of the preliminary tests that distortion due to overloading of an amplifier was more objectionable when heard in a loudspeaker than when heard in an ordinary telephone receiver. Consequently, to avoid overloading the telephone repeaters when they were used on the public address circuits, a device was conceived which would give visual indication on an instrument when the speech level was such as to cause the telephone repeaters to overload.

Further development of this idea led to the experimental device which was used in the Armistice Day demonstrations and which later, with no fundamental change, became the well-known 518 and 203 types of volume indicators. This device consisted of a triode vacuum tube functioning as a detector, to the output of which was connected a d-c milliammeter. Associated with the input was a potentiometer for adjusting the sensitivity in 2 db steps. The method of using the device was, to adjust the potentiometer so that the maximum movement of the milliammeter needle reached the mid-scale point on an average of about once every ten seconds, occasional greater deflections being disregarded. The volume level was then read from the setting of the potentiometer, which was marked in db with respect to a reference volume level.

The reference level was chosen as that level of speech which when transmitted into the long telephone circuits, would cause the telephone repeaters with which they were equipped to be just on the verge of overloading as evidenced by audible distortion. The gains of the telephone repeaters were normally adjusted so that the level at their outputs was 10 db higher than at the sending end of the circuit. Reference volume was therefore specifically defined as 10 db below the maximum speech level which could be satisfactorily transmitted through the particular amplifier and vacuum tube used in the telephone repeaters. This level was determined experimentally and the potentiometer steps of the volume indicator were marked accordingly. The reference volume was approximately the volume delivered over a short loop by the then standard subset when spoken into with a fairly loud voice.

- - - - -  
"Use of Public Address System with Telephone Lines"  
by W.H. Martin and A.B. Clark, Transactions  
A.I.E.E., February 1923.

It is apparent that the volume indicator was born in response to a definite need, and it has filled an important niche in the rapidly growing radio broadcasting industry and in other communication fields. Large numbers of volume indicators similar to this early type have continued to give satisfactory service to the present date.

It is a frequent characteristic of a rapidly expanding art that standards at first multiply, and finally a point is reached where simplification and agreement upon a single standard becomes imperative. This has occurred in connection with volume indicators and since the development of the first volume indicator, a variety of instruments have been produced by the various manufacturers and have come into service in the plants of the different companies, each having its particular advantages. Some of these instruments were of the rms and some of the peak-reading type; some had slow and some fast movements; some were lightly damped and some critically damped; and their reference levels corresponded to calibrations ranging from 1 to 12-1/2 milliwatts in 500 or 600 ohms, with occasional values outside this range. As a result, readings of volume level made with different instruments did not coordinate.

To illustrate further the divergence that occurred, two philosophies became current regarding the calibration of the original type of volume indicator. One view adhered to by the Bell System recognized no correlation between the maximum marking point for the galvanometer on peaks (the 30 division point on the scale) and the zero power level of six milliwatts used for calibration. When calibrating the instrument on six milliwatts of sine wave energy in 500 ohms, the galvanometer would read 22 divisions on step zero. There was not intended to be any correlation between this calibrating power and reference volume. Nevertheless, many people were led by this technique of calibration to refer to the volume indicator as a 6 milliwatt instrument. This idea was furthered by the fact that the vacuum tube to whose speech-carrying capacity the reference volume was originally referred, has a nominal full load capacity on sine waves of 60 milliwatts. The reference volume being defined as 10 db below the maximum output of this tube, it was natural to try to relate this reference volume to the corresponding figure of 6 milliwatts for sine waves.

The second view adopted by certain of the broadcasters was based on the experimental fact that when the potentiometer controlling the sensitivity was set at "0 db", a sine wave voltage of 2.5 (rms) applied to the volume indicator caused a deflection to mid-scale (scale reading of 50 divisions). This was equivalent to 12.5 milliwatts in a 500-ohm circuit, and the supporters of this view therefore referred to the volume indicator as a 12.5 milliwatt instrument.

Thus the same volume indicator, having the same sensitivity and giving the same readings of volume level, was variously referred to as a 6 milliwatt and a 12.5 milliwatt device. This increased the difficulty of coordination between the plants of the different companies which are interconnected in rendering broadcast service.

Some degree of standardization of the technique of reading volume levels had already been made within different organizations both here and abroad. The importance of the present development lies not only in the particular merits of the proposed standards, but also in the fact that they have been jointly developed and adopted by three of the larger users of volume indicators, and have been approved by many others. Thus there is good prospect that the needed standardization is about to be realized, and that all will shortly use the same instruments, the same reference levels, the same terminology, and the same nominal value of circuit impedance.

#### CHOICE OF PEAK VS RMS TYPES

##### General

The first important decision to be made and one which would affect the entire character of the development was whether the new volume indicator should be of the rms or of the peak reading type. These two types of instrument represent two schools of thought. The peak reading instrument is favored for general use by many European engineers and is specified by the F.C.C. for use as modulation monitors in this country. The rms type has, however, been commonly employed in this country on broadcast program networks and for general telephone use. In view of the importance of the decision and the difference of opinion that has existed, the data on which the choice was made are given below in considerable detail.

In accord with common practice, the terms "rms" and "peak-reading" are used rather loosely throughout this paper. The essential features of an rms instrument are some kind of rectifier or detector and a d-c milliammeter. The latter is not especially fast, generally requiring tenths of a second to reach substantially full deflection. Obviously, if a sufficiently slow wave is applied, say one whose frequency is one or two cycles per second, the instrument can follow it and the true peaks of the wave will be indicated, but when much higher frequency waves are applied, such as the complex speech or program waves, the instrument is too slow to indicate the instantaneous peaks but averages or integrates whole syllables or words. As shown by tests and practical experience, it is of secondary importance whether the detector actually has an rms (or square law) characteristic, or has a linear or some intermediate characteristic.

A peak reading instrument capable of truly indicating the sharpest peak which might occur in a high quality program wave would have to be able to respond to impulses lasting only a very small fraction of a millisecond. Cathode ray oscilloscopes or gas tube trigger circuits are capable of doing this and, therefore, might be used as peak reading volume indicators. However, the so-called peak reading volume indicators used in practice, designed to give a visual indication on an instrument, are far from having the above speed although they are much faster

than the rms instruments. They generally respond to impulses whose duration is measurable in hundredths or thousandths of a second. They, therefore, truly indicate the peaks of sine wave voltage whose frequency does not exceed, say, 50 to 100 cycles per second. They are similar to the rms instruments in that they are not fast enough to indicate the instantaneous peaks of speech or program waves but tend to average or integrate a number of peaks of the wave.

A feature of the usual peak reading instrument which is superficially impressive, but which from the analytical standpoint is of secondary importance, is that it is usually given a characteristic of very slow decay as well as rapid response. This is usually accomplished by a circuit such as illustrated in Fig. 2 which shows the principle of the experimental instrument used in the tests described later. The 0.01-mf condenser is charged through a full wave vacuum tube rectifier, the rates of charge and discharge being determined by the resistances. The d-c amplifier and instrument indicate the charge on the condenser. The advantage of making the discharge rate of the condenser very slow is that the instrument need not then be particularly fast and, moreover, the ease of reading the instrument is greatly increased.

From the above analysis it is seen that the rms and the peak reading instruments are essentially similar and differ principally in degree. Both indicate peaks whose durations exceed some value critical to the instrument and both average or integrate over a number of peaks the shorter, more rapid peaks encountered in speech or program waves. Either may have an rms or square law detector, or one of some intermediate characteristic. The important difference between the two types lies in the speed of response as measured by the length of impulses to which they will fully respond, or what is the same thing, in the time over which the complex wave is integrated.

A general purpose volume indicator may be called upon to serve a number of uses, such as:

a. Indication of a suitable level for a speech or program wave to avoid audible distortion when transmitted through an amplifier, program circuit, radio transmitter or the like.

b. Checking the transmission losses or gains in an extended program network by simultaneous measurements at a number of points on particular peaks or impulses of the program wave which is being transmitted.

c. The indication of the comparative loudness with which programs will be heard when finally converted to sound.

d. The indication of a satisfactory level to avoid interruption of service due to instantaneous overloads tripping protective devices in a radio transmitter, damage to sound recording systems, etc.

e. Transmission measurements made with sine wave cur-

rents.

These services are different in nature and the ideal requirements for an instrument for each may not necessarily be the same. One instrument to serve them all must, therefore, be a compromise. From the standpoint of the companies engaged in this development, Items (a), (b) and (c) in the above list were considered to be the most important and therefore attention was first directed to the relative merits of the two types of volume indicators with respect to them.

#### Aural Distortion Due to Overload

Tests of volume indicators as overload indicators with aural distortion as the criterion (Item (a)) had previously been made on a number of occasions and more tests were undertaken during the present development. The general procedure in such tests is to determine for some particular amplifier the volume level at its output at which distortion due to overloading can just be heard by a number of observers on each of a variety of programs. The volume levels thus determined are read on the various volume indicators which are being compared and the best instrument is considered to be the one which most nearly approaches having the same reading on all of the programs when overloading can just be detected.

The sole criterion of distortion due to overloading is the judgment of observers, since it is the final reaction on listeners which is of importance. This judgment is not subject to exactness of measurement, but is in fact somewhat of a variable, even with conditions unchanged and with the most experienced observers. For significant results to be obtained, therefore, a careful technique of conducting the tests is required, many observations must be made, and statistical methods of analyzing the resultant data must be employed.

The arrangement of equipment and circuits used in these tests is shown in simplified form in Fig. 3. A source of program, which may be a phonograph pickup, a direct microphone pickup, or a program circuit, is connected through control circuits to the amplifier which is to be overloaded, and thence through additional circuits to a loud speaker. The loud speaker employed in the tests reported here was a special high quality two unit loud speaker having a response which is substantially flat from 40 to 15,000 cycles per second.\* Including the power amplifier used with it, the overall response of the system was substantially uniform from 40 to 11,000 cycles.

The arrangement of the circuit is such that the volume level at the output of the test amplifier may be raised or lowered while keeping the overall gain of the system constant. Two controls are provided for this purpose. One, operated by a key transfers a 15 db loss from ahead of the

- - - - -  
\* "Auditory Perspective - Loud Speakers and Microphones", by E.C. Wente and A.L. Thuras - Electrical Engineering, January, 1934.



test amplifier to after it. This permits comparing a test condition with a reference condition in which the load on the amplifier is 15 db lower, while the loudness with which the program is heard remains the same for either condition. The other control, represented in Fig. 3 by the coupled attenuators, permits the load on the amplifier for the test condition to be varied, also without changing the loudness. The volume indicators to be compared are connected for convenience, to a point where the volume level is unaffected by the controls. Their readings are corrected for each test by the measured loss or gain between the point where they are situated and the output of the test amplifier, so as to express the levels which would be read at the amplifier output.

Two techniques were employed for conducting tests with this equipment. In one, the individual method, a single observer at a time listens to the program and adjusts the volume level at the output of the amplifier by ears of the coupled attenuators until he determines the point at which distortion due to overloading is just audible when the key is operated from the reference to the test condition. This is repeated for a number of different programs and observers until a large number of observations have been obtained. The volume levels indicated by the different volume indicators at the amplifier output are determined for each observation. These are found to have a considerable spread, due not only to the differences in the nature of the programs but also to differences in the acuity of perception of the distortion by the various observers. The method of analyzing the data is described later.

In the second technique, the group method, a group of observers simultaneously listens to a program which is repeated with the key operated alternately to the test and reference positions. The two conditions are distinguished to the observers (but not identified as to which is which) by a letter associated with each condition in an illuminated sign. The letters A, B and C are used, two being chosen at random for each test. A vote is taken as to which condition, designated by one of the two letters employed in the particular test, is preferred with respect to freedom from distortion. A number of such tests, covering the range from a level below the point where distortion can be detected by anyone to a level high enough for all to observe distortion, establishes a curve between the per cent of observers correctly choosing the reference condition as having the least distortion, and the amplifier output level as read by each volume indicator used in the tests. Similar curves are determined for a number of kinds of program material, and for purposes of comparison the overload point for each program is taken from the point on the curve for each volume indicator, where 80 per cent\* of the observers voted correctly.

As noted before, judgment tests of this sort require many observations and checks to obtain reliable results. A larger volume of data is available for the individual method, so the results from tests made by that method have been chosen to be reported here. Some tests have also been made with the group method and, while the results are less conclusive, they

substantiate those recorded below.

Tests by the individual method to compare peak reading and rms volume indicators have been carried out a number of times during the past two years. In each of these tests a number of observers have taken part and a number of samples of program material of a variety of types have been employed. For the majority of the tests, the sources of program were high quality recordings, convenient because of the ease and exactness with which the programs could be repeated. For some of the tests, however, actual speakers and musical instruments were employed with direct microphone pickup.

A number of the rms types of volume indicator in common use were represented in these tests, Since the 700A Volume Indicator was common to all of the tests, it has been chosen to represent the rms type of volume indicator in the data presented below. The peak reading type was represented by the especially constructed experimental instrument, whose fundamental circuit is shown in the diagram of Fig. 2 referred to earlier. The resistances controlling the rates of charge and discharge of the condenser were adjustable, permitting a range of characteristics to be obtained. The adjustments for which the data referred to below were obtained, correspond to a rate of charge of the condenser such that impulses of single frequency applied to the input for 0.025 second would give a reading within 2 db of the final reading corresponding to a sustained impulse of the same amplitude. The rate of discharge of the condenser was about 19 db per second. These rates are generally similar in magnitude to those specified by the International Consultative Committee on Telephone Transmission (the C.C.I.F.) for broadcast service, and by the Federal Communications Commission for modulation monitors.

The d-c amplifier and instrument which indicates the charge on the condenser included features, not shown in the simplified sketch, which made the response logarithmic. The instrument had a substantially uniform db scale covering a range of 50 db.

The data from four different series of tests, made at different times, were collected in one body, and distribution curves were plotted showing the relative frequency of occurrence

- - - - -  
\* "Audible Frequency Ranges of Music, Speech and Noise", W.B. Snow, Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, July, 1931. among the data of the different levels at which incipient overload was detected. Curves for tests on a Western Electric 94B Amplifier, which is an amplifier designed with negative feedback and therefore having a relatively sharp cutoff, similar to a radio transmitter, are illustrated in Fig. 4. It will be noted that the curve obtained with the rms volume indicator has a slightly greater spread than that for the peak reading volume indicator. Twelve different observers took part in these tests, and 13 samples of program were employed, including male and female speech, dance music, piano, violin and brass band selections.

The data may more readily be interpreted when plotted in the form of cumulative distribution curves, obtained by integrating the above distribution curves. Cumulative curves for the data just referred to are shown in Fig. 3. For convenience and ease of interpretation, these curves have been plotted on "probability" rather than rectangular coordinates, as probability coordinates have the property of making data whose distribution follows a normal law\* form a straight line. It will be noted that the experimentally determined points actually fall so nearly on straight lines, that it is reasonable to assume straight lines to represent them. It is likely that with a greater volume of data, still greater conformity to the straight lines drawn, would be obtained.

In order to superpose the curves for the two volume indicators, the levels are plotted in db with respect to the average overload level determined from the tests. When calibrated to read alike on the sage sine wave power, the experimental peak reading instrument (with the adjustments described above) reads on the average 7.4 db higher on actual programs than the rms instrument used in the tests.

Now let it be imagined that the test amplifier is the one critical link in a broadcast network and that an operator is given the duty of satisfactorily adjusting the volume levels through the amplifier using either of the two volume indicators tested. If he lets the louder portions of the programs just reach the volume level marked "0 db" on the curves, it will make no difference which volume indicator he uses. In either case, on the average, half of the listeners will hear distortion when the program is loudest. However, this result would probably be considered too poor, so suppose the maximum level is lowered 3.5 db. Referring to the curves, it is seen that if the peak reading volume indicator is used, only about 5 per cent of the listeners will now on the average hear distortion on the loudest program passages, while if the rms instrument is used, about 10 per cent will hear distortion. To reduce the latter figure to 5 per cent would require lowering the maximum volume level another db. Thus with this criterion, the peak instrument has a slight advantage, as it would permit the transmission of a 1 db higher average volume level for the same likelihood of distortion being heard.

The above statements assume that the observers and programs used in the tests just described were representative of the listening public and the programs they hear, Actually, the observers were trained by experience in making many tests and were no doubt much more critical than the average listener. Moreover, the conditions under which the tests were performed, with the availability of frequent comparison with the undistorted reference condition, were more conducive to critical detection of overload than are average listening conditions. These facts, together with the inevitable inability of the control operator in practice to make his adjustments perfectly in anticipation of the coming changes in the programs, tend to make the real practical advantage of one instrument over the other, considerably less than shown by the tests. A further factor reducing the importance of the small

\* The "normal" law has the form  $y = A e^{-ax^2}$ .

differences shown by the tests is the growing use of limiting amplifiers at critical points in a broadcast system such as at the radio broadcast stations, which automatically prevent the transmission of excessive levels.

Another cumulative distribution curve is shown in Fig. 6, representing similar tests on a Western Electric 14B Program Amplifier. This is a simple push-pull triode amplifier without negative feedback and therefore having a more gradual cutoff than the 94B, (The gain versus output power level curves at 1000 cycles per second are shown in Fig. 7 for the two amplifiers). It will be seen from Fig. 6 that the data for the two volume indicators show no significant difference and that the single curve equally well represents either set of data in the region of interest. Somewhat fewer data are represented by this curve and the agreement with the normal law is not quite so close as in the previous case.

The peak reading instrument with the adjustment used in these tests although having characteristics similar to those usually proposed for this type of device, is still far too slow in response to indicate the true instantaneous peaks of the program wave. The question naturally arises, therefore, whether any greater difference would be indicated if the peak reading instrument were made sufficiently fast in response actually to indicate the instantaneous peaks. To check this point, some tests similar to those described above were made in which a gas tube trigger circuit capable of measuring the true instantaneous peaks was added to the volume indicators tested. The results of these tests are shown in Fig. 8. Although a smaller number of observations are included in these data, the results show conclusively that there is no substantial difference between the experimental peak reading volume indicator and the faster trigger tube arrangement, in their performance on actual program waves.

The data from the tests have been presented above in the form which most directly indicates the comparative performance of the two types of volume indicators. However, a breakdown of the data with respect to the types of program may be of interest and is shown in Tables I and II for the data on the 943 amplifier shown previously in Figs. 4 and 5.

TABLE I  
 AVERAGE OVERLOAD POINTS OF DIFFERENT KINDS OF  
 PROGRAM - 94B AMPLIFIER

<u>Character Of Program</u>	<u>No. of Tests</u>	<u>Total No. of Observations</u>	<u>Average Overload Point*</u>	
			<u>RMS V.I.</u>	<u>Peak V.I.</u>
Male Speech	8	81	22.1 db	31.9 db
Female Speech	8	81	22.8	20.1
Piano	5	40	24.1	20.9
Brass Band	4	25	24.1	31.0
Dance Orchestra	5	41	24.7	29.4
Violin	<u>1</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25.8</u>	<u>31.1</u>
Average Speech	16	163	22.4	31.0
Average Music	<u>15</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>24.5</u>	<u>30.5</u>
Grand Average	31	285	23.3	30.7

\* These tests antedated the new standards, and the values given are in db with respect to a reference point corresponding to the reading of the instruments on .006 watt in 600 ohms.

TABLE II  
 SPREAD OF OVERLOAD POINTS WHOSE AVERAGES  
 ARE GIVEN IN TABLE I

<u>Character of Program</u>	<u>RMS V.I.</u>	<u>Peak V.I.</u>
Male Speech	6.1 db	3.7 db
Female Speech	4.6	2.5
Piano	3.6	4.9
Brass Band	4.0	3.9
Dance Orchestra	3.7	2.4
All Types	7.3	5.9

It will be observed in Table I that the average overload points for the different types of programs fall within a range of about 2 db for either volume indicator, However, it will be noted that with the rms instrument the average overload point for speech is about 2\_db lower than for music, while there is no significant difference with the peak instrument. This undoubtedly is because speech waves have a higher "peak-factor" (ratio of peak to rms values) than music.

Table II shows the spread of the overload points (difference between highest and lowest values) for the various tests on each type of program whose average is given in Table I. Most of the types of program show a significantly narrower spread for the peak than the rms instrument. For comparison with values taken from Figs. 5 and 6, discussed above, these spreads should be divided by two to show the difference between the lowest and the mean values.

It is concluded from the tests just described that the disadvantage in using rms instead of peak reading volume

indicators for controlling volumes to avoid aural distortion due to overloading, is substantially none when the overloading device does not have too sharp an overloading characteristic, and only slight when it does overload sharply. The explanation probably lies in the physiological and psychological factors involved in the ear's appreciation of overload distortion, which permit to pass unnoticed considerable amounts of distortion on rarely occurring instantaneous peaks of very short duration.

### Peak Checking

A very important use of volume indicators is that of checking the transmission losses or gains along a program network by measurements made on the transmitted program material (Item (b) in the list given earlier). The program circuits which make up the large program networks, are in continuous use for many hours each day and during that period are switched together in many combinations as called for by the schedules for the day. It is not convenient to interrupt service for sine wave transmission measurements, so to check the transmission conditions during service hours, it is the custom to take simultaneous readings at two or more points in the program networks on particular impulses of whatever program wave is being transmitted, coordinating; these readings by the use of an order wire, On such readings, the rms type of instrument is far superior to the peak reading type, because phase distortion and slight nonlinearity in the program circuits, the results of which are undetectable to the ear, change the wave shape of the program peaks sufficiently to cause serious errors in the readings of the peak reading instrument but have no noticeable effect on the ins instruments.

Tests were made on this effect by taking readings on several kinds of program at the beginning and end of a program circuit extending from New York to Chicago and return (about 1900 miles). In all of these tests, the readings made with the rms instrument at the two ends of the circuit agreed within a very few tenths of a decibel. The readings of the peak instrument, however, disagreed by the values shown in Table III, when the program material was applied to the circuit at the normal maximum operating level. (The circuit was lined up so that either volume indicator read the same at both ends of the circuit on a 1000 cycle sine wave.)

TABLE III  
READING OF PEAK V.I. AT RECEIVING END OF LONG PROGRAM  
CIRCUIT COMPARED TO READING AT SENDING END

	<u>Upper Frequency Limit</u>	
	<u>5000 Cycles</u>	<u>8000 Cycles</u>
Male Speech	-3.5 db	-4.5
Female Speech	-1.5	-3.0
Dance Orchestra	-2.0	-1.5
Brass Band	-3.0	-2.0
Piano	-0.5	-1.5

It is of interest that the errors shown by the Table are affected by the frequency range of the program material transmitted, being greater for the broader band. The frequency range was controlled by the use of low-pass filters inserted between the source of program and the line before the point at which the sending end levels were read. Some tests were also made of the effect of a 180 degree phase reversal at the center of the loop, This was found to increase the errors in some cases and to decrease them in others.

The large errors indicated in the table are, of course, intolerable. The effect of the line on the reading of the peak instrument is partly due to the cumulative effects of the slight nonlinearity in the many vacuum tube amplifiers and loading coils in the circuit, and partly to phase changes which alter the wave front and amplitude of the peaks. It might be thought that phase changes which destroy some peaks would tend to create others. However, a Fourier analysis of a sharp peak will show that an exact phase relationship must exist between all of the frequency components. The probability that phase shift in a line will chance to cause all of the many frequency components of a complex wave to align themselves in the relationship necessary to create a peak where none existed before, is very slight, - indeed infinitesimal compared to the probability of the occurrence of a peak in the original wave.

#### Loudness

Another important consideration is the correlation between volume levels and the comparative loudness of different types of programs. This was tested by a method similar to the "group method", described above in connection with the tests on aural overload distortion. A group of observers was permitted to listen to alternate repetitions of a test program and a reference program, and was asked to vote upon which appeared the louder. A particular selection of male speech was used as the reference program for all of the tests and its level was kept constant. The test programs included several different types and several samples of each type of program. The samples of program were about 30 seconds in length. Each test program was presented at a number of levels covering a range from a low level where all the observers judged the reference program to be the louder to a higher value where all of them judged the test program to be the louder.

Thus, a curve was established for each type of program between the per cent of observers judging the test program to be the louder, and the level of the test program. A sample of such a curve is shown in Fig. 9. The 50 per cent point on the curve is interpreted as indicating the level of the test program at which it appears to the average observer to have the same loudness as the reference program. The test program is then set at this "equal loudness" volume level and the levels of troth test and reference program is are read with each of the types of volume indicators of interest. In this way, the figures given in Table IV were determined.

TABLE IV

<u>Type of Program</u>	Volume Levels Compared to Volume Level of Male Speech Having the Same Loudness	
	<u>New Volume Indicator</u>	<u>Peak Volume Indicator</u>
Male Speech	0	0
Female Speech	-0.1	-2.2
Dance Orchestra	+2.8	-2.2
Symphony Orchestra	+2.7	-2.3
Male Singing	+2.0	-2.5

It is evident from the figures in the table that there is no significant advantage for either type of volume indicator where loudness is the criterion.

Table IV shows that when the new volume indicator is used the musical programs must be 2 to 3 db higher than speech to sound equally loud. It is of interest to note that according to Table I this same difference was shown to exist between the average overload point of the 942 amplifier on speech and music, when measured with the rms volume indicator. This would seem to indicate that if allowance is made for this difference between speech and music in controlling the volume levels to avoid overloading, they will also then sound equally loud to the listeners.

#### Choice of Type

The tests of aural distortion due to overload showed so slight a disadvantage for the rms instrument and the experiments on peak checking showed such a marked advantage for this type as compared with the peak instrument, that it was decided to develop the rms type of instrument. Other considerations were, that with the advances in copper-oxide types of instruments, it has become possible to make rms instruments of sufficient sensitivity for most purposes without the use of vacuum tubes and their attendant need of power supply., an advantage not shared by peak-reading instruments, at least at present. Thus, the rms instrument has advantages of comparative low cost, ruggedness, and freedom from the need of power supply, and can, moreover, be readily made in portable forms when desired.

#### DYNAMIC AND ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS

It will be appreciated from the earlier discussion that for a volume indicator to be truly standard, its dynamic and electrical characteristics must be controlled and specified so that different instruments will read alike on the rapidly varying speech and program waves. Therefore, the next step in the development was to determine suitable values for these characteristics.

In deciding upon the dynamic characteristics, an important factor included in the consideration was the ease of



reading the instrument and the lack of eye strain in observing it for long periods.

First, a number of existing instruments were studied, including some experimental models constructed independently for the two broadcasting companies prior to the start of this joint development. In this, the opinions of operators, accustomed to reading volume indicators as a part of their regularly assigned duties, were sought, as well as those of the engineers. The instruments studied included a considerable range of speeds of response and of damping. From this work, the following conclusions were reached:

For ease of reading and minimum of eye fatigue, the movement should not be too fast. As a result of observations under service conditions and other tests the requirement was adopted that the sudden application of a 1000-cycle sine wave of such amplitude as to give a steady deflection at the scale point where the instrument is to be read, shall cause the pointer to read 99 per cent of the final deflection in 0.3 second.

The movement shall be slightly less than critically damped, so that the pointer will overswing not less than 1 per cent nor more than 1.5 per cent when the above sine wave is suddenly applied.

This last point deserves further discussion. It was noted that on speech or program waves, instruments which were critically damped or slightly overdamped had a more "jittery" action than instruments slightly underdamped, and the strain of reading them was greater. The reason for this will be understood by reference to the theoretical curves shown in Fig. 10. These curves represent for three different degrees of damping, the deflection versus time following the sudden application of a steady sine wave. Curve A is for a movement underdamped by the amount specified above. Curve B is for a critically damped movement, while curve C is for a movement which is overdamped by the same factor that A is underdamped. It is assumed that the periods of the three movements are so adjusted that all reach a deflection of 99 per cent in the same time and that the sensitivities of each are the same.

It will be noted-that the velocity of the pointer in curve A is more nearly uniform than in the other curves, and that the maximum velocity in A is only about half that in C. Because of the lower and more uniform velocity, there will be much less eye strain in watching pointer A as it dances about in response to program waves, than either of the others. Moreover, the same curves inverted will equally well represent the motion of the pointers when the applied wave is suddenly stopped. It is evident by inspection of the region shown near zero, that pointers B and C will start downward very rapidly whereas pointer A will pause for a moment and then start downward more slowly. This is of importance since it is the maximum excursions of the pointer which must be observed in reading volume levels. The tendency to pause at the top of the swing before starting downward makes A easy to read, and the failure to do so explains the observed "jittery" motion of instruments such as B and C.

As a further part of this study, high speed moving pictures were taken of the available volume indicators, showing their response to suddenly applied sine waves. The pictures were taken at 400 frames a second and included on the edge of each frame was a photograph of a clock device which indicated time in thousandths of a second. From measurements made on these films, the data plotted in Fig. 11 were obtained. It is interesting to observe how lightly damped are the oscillations of the 203C Volume Indicator, which until the advent of the new instrument has been in use in considerable numbers. The curve for the peak volume indicator on Fig. 11 must not be mistaken for the true speed of response but is merely the speed with which the instrument reads the charge on the condenser (see Fig. 2). The charge builds up quite rapidly, but the instrument follows in more leisurely fashion as shown. The instrument, as noted earlier, will actually give a reading of 80 per cent on an impulse of sine wave as short as .025 second.

The above characteristics were decided upon only after many tests corroborated by field trials under actual working conditions. The validity of the conclusions reached in the tests of earlier rms volume indicators was checked with respect to the new instrument by further tests. It was concluded that the specified characteristics are a good working compromise for an instrument for general use.

The question of whether the rectifier should be half-wave or full-wave needs little discussion. The oscillogram of the speech wave shown in Fig. 1 shows a very marked lack of symmetry. Evidently if a volume indicator is to give the same reading no matter which way its input is poled, a balanced full-wave rectifier is required.

Throughout this paper, the term "rms" has been used loosely to describe the general type of instrument under consideration. Some tests were made to determine how closely the new volume indicator approximates this characteristic.

The procedure was based on determining the exponent "p" in the equation

$$i = k e^p$$

which is equivalent to the actual performance of the instrument for normal deflections. (In the equation "i" is the instantaneous current in the instrument coil and "e" is the instantaneous potential applied to the volume indicator.) Two methods were employed. One consisted of determining the ratio of the magnitudes of the sine wave a-c and the d-c potentials which when applied to the volume indicator give the same deflection. The second method consisted of determining the ratio of the single frequency potential to the potential of each of two equal amplitude, non-harmonically related, frequencies which when simultaneously applied give the same deflection.

Without going into the mathematics involved, one of the new volume indicators was found to have an exponent of about 1.5, so that it has a characteristic that is about midway between a

linear, and a square law or "Ms", characteristic. Applying the second method to a Western Electric 1G volume indicator, which is considered to be an "rms" instrument, the exponent was found to be 1.89.

### INSTRUMENT SCALE

Among the more important features to be considered in the development of a volume indicator is the design of its scale. In broadcast studios, volume indicators are under observation almost continuously by the control operators, and the ease and accuracy of reading, and the degree of eye strain are of major importance.

At the present time there are a wide variety of volume-level indicator scales in use by the electrical communications industry. This, coupled with the use of a number of different kinds of instruments, reference levels, etc., results in considerable confusion when volume measurements are involved.

Volume level indicators, as already explained, are used (a) as an aid in compressing the wide dynamic range of an original performance to that of the associated transmission medium and (b) for locating the upper part of the dynamic range just within the overload point of an equipment during its normal operation. For the first of these uses, a scale having a wide decibel range is preferable. For the latter purpose, a scale length of 10 db is usually adequate. Since a given instrument may be used for both applications neither too large nor too small a range is desirable in a volume level indicator for the above purposes. A usable scale length covering 20 db appears to be a satisfactory compromise.

It is evident that the instrument scale should be easy to read in order that the peak reached by the needle under the impetus of a given impulse may be accurately determined. The instrument scale, therefore, should be as large as practical since, in the case of the broadcast and motion picture applications, attention is divided between the action in the studio and the volume indicator.

The instrument scale graduations should convey a meaning, if possible, even to those not technically inclined but who are, nevertheless, concerned with the production of the program material.

Finally, the scale must be properly illuminated so that the relative light intensity on the face of the instrument is comparable to that on the sound stage. Unless this condition prevails, the eye will have difficulty in accommodating itself with sufficient rapidity to the changes in illumination as the technician glances back and forth from the studio to the volume-indicator instrument.

### Existing Scales

The volume indicator scales most commonly employed in the past are shown in Figures 12, 13, 14 and 15. It is evident

that all these scales differ from each other in one or more respects.

The color combinations employed for the scale shown in Fig. 12 and the simplicity of its markings are outstanding virtues. The division markings and the numerals of the main scale are black on a yellow background. The decibel divisions and associated numerals are in red and considerably less conspicuous than the main scale.

However, the 0 to 60 scale, which is used on both of the instruments shown in Figs. 12 and 13, is an arbitrary one bearing no simple relation to the electrical quantity being measured. Because of this, some of the non-technical persons concerned with program production are prone to request that a certain "effect" which they desire to transmit at a louder-than-normal level, be permitted to swing the indicating needle beyond the normal reference point of "30" on the scale. It is not evident to them from the instrument scale that the normal reading of "30" corresponds to maximum "undistorted" output of the system.

The scale shown in Fig. 14, on the other hand, was primarily intended for steady-state and not volume level measurement purposes. Consequently, these scales have little, if anything, to commend them for program monitoring use. Nevertheless, the simplicity and the fine electrical features of these instruments, together with their relatively reasonable cost, have resulted in their general application to volume indicator service. It is evident, however, that the scale cards which contain all kinds of identification data, are entirely too confusing for quick, accurate observations as the needle swings rapidly back and forth across the scale.

The scale shown in Fig. 15 has the merit of simplicity and easy readability. It is, however, somewhat limited in the range appearing on the scale.

#### New Scale

Both vu\* markings and markings proportional to voltage are incorporated in the new instrument scale. The need for the former is obvious, but the philosophy which led to the inclusion of the latter requires an explanation.

It is evident, assuming a linear system, that the voltage scale is directly proportional to percentage modulation of a radio transmitter upon which the program is finally impressed. If the system is adjusted for complete modulation for a deflection to the 100 per cent mark, then subsequent indications show the degree of modulation under actual operating conditions. In the interests of best operation, it may be desirable, of course, to adjust the system for somewhat less than complete modulation when the 100 per cent indication is reached.

In any event, the indications on the voltage scale always show the percentage utilization of the channel. This is a decided advantage because everyone involved has a clear

conception of a percentage indication. Furthermore, since the scale does not extend beyond the 100 per cent mark (except in the form of a red warning band) and since it is impossible to obtain more than 100 per cent utilization of the facilities, there is no incentive on the part of non-technical people connected with program origination, to request an extra loud "effect" on special occasions.

Actually, two scales, each containing both vu and voltage markings, have been devised. One of these known as the type A scale, Fig. 16, emphasizes the vu markings and has an inconspicuous voltage scale. The second, known as the type B, Fig. 17, reverses the emphasis on the two scales. This arrangement permits the installation of the instrument which emphasizes the scale that is most important to the user, while retaining the alternate scale for correlation purposes.

The new scale retains the simplicity and the general color scheme of the former Fig. 12 scale. The main divisions markings and the associated numerals are, in each case, in black. The secondary data are smaller (and in one case, are in red) and therefore less conspicuous than the others. All irrelevant markings have been omitted from the scale.

The color of the scale card, which is a light orange-yellow, seems to be a satisfactory compromise between high contrast and reduced eye-strain and fatigue. This choice is based upon the preference of a large group of skilled observers and upon the reports of certain societies for the improvement of vision. The use of matte finished instrument cases having fairly high reflection coefficients, such as light grey, is also desirable for ease of vision.

The location of the "reference" point is such that 71 per cent of the total scale length is utilized as compared to only 42 per cent in former instruments. This feature, combined with the use of a larger size instrument results in a useful scale more than 2.5 times the length of former scales.

Although the reference point is no longer in the traditional vertical or near-vertical position, it has been found that even those who have long been accustomed to the old arrangement, soon discover the advantages of the new scale. This is attested, in the case of the broadcasting application, by the general acceptance of this scale by the personnel of a number of stations located in various sections of the country.

A small but important feature of the new scale is the use of an arc to connect the lower extremities of the vertical black division marks. This arc affords a natural path along which the eye travels as it watches the needle flash up and down the scale. The omission of this arc would result in a number of vertical division marks, hanging in space, as obstacles to the free back-and-forth motion of the eye.

It is evident upon comparison of Figs. 12, 13, 14 and 15, with  
- - - - -

\* See Page 28 for definition.

Figs. 16 and 17 that the dynamic volume range visible on the scale is at least twice as great as on former instruments. This range, as already explained, is a good median value for general use.

Mention was made of the opinions of a group of skilled observers. This group consisted of more than 80 broadcast technicians who, in the performance of their duties, watch volume indicator instruments almost continuously throughout the working day. The opinions of this group were obtained by submitting working models for their individual considerations. It is believed that some of the results of these observations are of interest.

1. 83 per cent preferred the yellow in place of a white scale card.
2. 90 per cent preferred the "0-100" scale to the "0-60" scale.
3. 92 per cent preferred the longer scale length (3.5" vs. 2.36").
4. 97 per cent preferred the numerals placed above the arc.
5. 50 per cent preferred the spade pointer to the lance type.
6. 93 per cent agreed on the adequacy of 3 db leeway above the reference point.

#### NEW REFERENCE LEVEL AND TERMINOLOGY

Having agreed on the characteristics of the new standard volume indicator, the interests of complete standardization call for agreement, as well, upon a uniform method of use and a uniform terminology. Agreement upon a uniform method of use must include establishing the reference volume or zero volume level to which the readings are to be referred and agreeing upon the technique of reading the volume indicator.

It is important to appreciate that "reference volume" is a practically useful concept, but one which is quite arbitrary and not definable in fundamental terms. For example, it cannot be expressed in any simple way in terms of the ordinary electrical units of power, potential, or current, but is describable only in terms of the electrical and dynamic characteristics of an instrument, its sensitivity as measured by its single frequency calibration, and the technique of reading it. In other words, a correct definition of reference volume is that it is that level of program which causes a standard volume indicator, when calibrated and used in the accepted way, to read zero.

It is especially cautioned that reference volume should not be confused with the single frequency power used to calibrate the zero volume setting of the volume indicator. If a volume indicator is calibrated so as to read zero on a sine wave power

of, say, one milliwatt in a stated impedance, a speech or program wave in the same impedance whose intensity is such as to give a reading of zero will have instantaneous peaks of power which are several times one milliwatt and an average power which is only a small fraction of a milliwatt. It is therefore, erroneous to say that reference volume in this case is one milliwatt,

Moreover, it should be emphasized that although it is convenient to measure the performance of amplifiers and systems by means of single frequencies there is no exact universal relationship between the single frequency load carrying capacity indicated by such measurements, and the load carrying capacity for speech and program waves expressed in terms of volume level. This relationship depends upon a number of factors such as the rapidity of cutoff at the overload point, the frequency band width being transmitted, the quality of service to be rendered, etc.

It has already been brought out that in the past there have been a multiplicity of reference volumes differing from each other not only because of the various single frequency calibrations which have been employed, but also because of the dissimilar dynamic characteristics of the different instruments used to measure volume levels. It is also apparent that the introduction of a new volume indicator whose characteristics are not identical with any of its predecessors inherently means the introduction of a new reference volume no matter how it is calibrated. Therefore, there did not seem to be any compelling reason to make the calibration of the new instrument agree with any of the calibrations used in the past. Moreover, to many there seemed to be some advantage in setting the new reference level at a sufficiently different order of magnitude from those which had been in most common use, so that there will be little chance of confusing the new standards with any of those that went before.

After much thought and discussion, it was agreed that the new reference volume should correspond to the reading of the new volume indicator when calibrated with one milliwatt in 600 ohms across which the volume indicator is bridged. Other calibrating values were considered were  $10^{-16}$  watts, 6 milliwatts and 10 milliwatts, in 600 ohms or in 500 ohms. The value chosen was preferred by a majority of a large number of people who were consulted and in addition was found to be the only value to which all could agree. Some of the reasons for choosing 1 milliwatt ( $10^{-3}$  watts) were: (1) It is a simple round number, easy to remember; (2)  $10^{-3}$  is a preferred number;\* (3) 1 milliwatt is a much used value for testing power for transmission measurements, especially in the telephone plant, so that choice of this value, therefore, permits the volume indicators to be used directly for transmission measurements.

The choice of the standard impedance of 600 ohms was influenced by the fact that, considering all of the plants involved, there is more equipment designed to this impedance than to 500 ohms.

The question may very well be raised why the reference volume has been related to a calibrating power rather than to a

calibrating voltage, inasmuch as a volume indicator is generally a high-impedance, voltage responsive device. A reference level could conceivably be established based on voltage and the unit of measurement might be termed "volume-volts". However, volume measurements are a part of the general field of transmission measurements, and the same reasons apply here for basing them on power considerations as in the case of ordinary transmission measurements using sine waves. If the fundamental concept were voltage, apparent gains or losses would appear wherever impedance transforming devices, such as transformers, occur in a circuit. This difficulty is avoided by adopting the power concept, making suitable corrections in the readings when the impedance is other than 600 ohms.

Having chosen the zero point for the new volume measurements, the next question to be decided was the terminology to be employed in describing the measurements, As has been pointed out, the past custom of describing the volume measurements as so many db above or below reference level has been ambiguous because of differences in instruments and standards of calibration. It was, therefore, thought that there would be less confusion in adopting the new standards if a new name were coined for expressing the measurements. The term selected is "vu", the number of vu being numerically the same as the number of db above or below the new reference volume level. It is intended that in the future this new term will be restricted to its intended use so that, whenever a volume level reading is encountered expressed as so many vu, it will be understood that the reading was made with an instrument having the characteristics of the new volume indicator and is expressed with respect to the new reference level.

The next question is how to describe the method of reading the volume indicator. The procedure is essentially the same as that which has always been employed, with the exception that, since the instrument is very nearly critically damped, there will be tolerated fewer overswings above the prescribed deflection. One who is familiar with the use of volume indicators will instinctively read the new instrument correctly. The procedure may be described by stating that the adjustable attenuator which is a part of the volume indicator should be so adjusted that the extreme deflections of the instrument needle will just reach a scale reading of zero on the vu scale or 100 on the per cent voltage scale. The volume level is then given by the designations numbered on the attenuator. If, because of the coarseness of the adjustments provided or for other reasons, the deflections cannot be brought exactly to the 0 vu mark or 100 per cent mark, the reading obtained from the setting of the attenuator may, if desired, be corrected by adding the departure from 0 shown on the vu scale of the instrument.

Since program material is of a very rapidly varying nature, a reading can not be obtained instantaneously but the volume indicator must be observed for an appreciable period. It is suggested that a period of 1 minute be assumed for this

- - - - -  
\* A, VanDyck, "Preferred Numbers", Proc. I.R.E., Vol. 24, pp. 159-179 (1936).



purpose so that the volume level at any particular time is determined by the maximum swings of the pointer within a period of about 1 minute.

## SUMMARY OF CHARACTERISTICS

In the preceding sections of the paper the considerations which led to the selection of the more important characteristics of the new volume indicator have been discussed in some detail. In this section a summary will be made, first of the fundamental requirements which must be conformed to by any instrument if it is to be a standard volume indicator according to the new standards and secondly, of other requirements which have been specified for the new volume indicators which are perhaps matters more of engineering than of a fundamental nature. These requirements are a condensation of the more important features of the specifications for the new instrument. The Weston Electrical Instrument Corporation very generously cooperated in the development, but it is emphasized that the specifications are based on fundamental requirements and are not written on the product of a particular manufacturer. The complete requirements are available to any interested party, and it is understood that at least one other manufacturer will soon be in this field.

### (A) Fundamental Requirements

#### 1. Type of Rectifier

The volume indicator must employ a full-wave rectifier and respond approximately to the rms value of the impressed voltage.

#### 2. Scales

The face of the instrument shall have one of the two scale cards shown in Figs. 16 and 17. Both cards have a "vu" scale and a "percentage voltage" scale. An arbitrary point where it is intended normally to read the meter is located at about 71 per cent of the full scale arc. This point is marked 0 on the vu scale and deviations from this point are marked in vu to +3 and to -20. The same point is marked 100 on the other scale which is graduated proportionately to voltage from 0 to 100.

#### 3. Dynamic Characteristics

If a 1000-cycle voltage of such amplitude as to give a steady reading of 100 on the voltage scale is suddenly applied, the pointer should reach 99 in 0.3 second and should then overswing the 100 point by at least 1.0 and not more than 1.5 per cent.

#### 4. Response vs Frequency

The sensitivity of the volume indicator instrument shall not depart from that at 1000 cycles by more than 0.2 db between 35 and 10,000 cycles per second nor more than 0.5 db between 25 and 16,000 cycles per second.

## 5. Calibration

The reading of the volume indicator (complete assembly as shown schematically in Fig. 18) shall be 0 vu when it is connected to a 600-ohm resistance in which is flowing 1 milliwatt of sine wave power at 1000 cycles per second, or n vu when the calibrating power is n db above one milliwatt.\*

### (B) Specific Requirements

#### 1. General Type

The volume indicator employs a d-c instrument with a non-corrosive full-wave copper-oxide rectifier mounted within its case.

#### 2. Impedance

The impedance of the volume indicator arranged for bridging across a line is about 7500 ohms when measured with a sinusoidal voltage sufficient to deflect the pointer to the 0 vu or 100 scale point. Of this impedance 3900 ohms is in the meter and about 3600 ohms must be supplied externally to the meter, this value of series resistance being required in order to meet the above dynamic characteristics.

#### 3. Sensitivity

The application of a 1000-cycle potential of 1.228 volts rms (4 db above 1 milliwatt in 600 ohms) to the instrument in series with the proper external resistance causes a deflection to the 0 vu or 100 scale point. The instrument, therefore, has sufficient sensitivity to be read at its normal point (0 vu or 100) on a volume level of +4 vu.\*

#### 4. Harmonic Distortion

The harmonic distortion introduced in a 600-ohm circuit by bridging the volume indicator across it is less than that equivalent to 0.3 per cent.

#### 5. Overload

The instrument is capable of withstanding, without injury or

-----  
\* There should be no confusion because the instrument deflects to a scale marking of 0 vu when a level of +4 vu is applied to it. As in previous volume indicators, the 0 point on the vu scale is merely an arbitrary point at which it is intended nominally to read the instrument, and the rest of the vu scale represents deviations from the 0 point. The volume level is read, not from the scale, but from the indications on the associated sensitivity control when the latter is so set as to give a scale deflection of zero. If a deflection other than zero is obtained, the volume level may be corrected by the deviation from zero shown on the instrument scale. In the present art, it is impractical to make an instrument of the desired characteristics having a sensitivity greater than that indicated.

effect on calibration, peaks-of 10 times the voltage equivalent to a deflection to the 0 vu or 100 scale point for 0.5 second and a continuous overload of 5 times the same voltage.

#### 6. Color of Scale

The color of the scale card, expressed according to the Munsell system of color identification is 2.93 Y 9.18 \*\* .  
4.61

#### 7. Presence of Magnetic Material

It should be cautioned that the presence of magnetic material near the movements of the instruments as now made will affect their calibrations and dynamic characteristics. This is because, to obtain the desired sensitivity and dynamic characteristics, it has been necessary to employ more powerful magnets than usually required for such instruments, and any diversion of flux to nearby magnetic objects effectively weakens the useful magnetic field beyond the point where these characteristics can be met. The instruments should not, therefore, be mounted on steel panels. (The effect is only slight if they are mounted on 1/16 inch panels with the mounting hole cut away as far as possible without extending beyond the face of the meter.)

In the instruments as now available, the deviation of the sensitivity with temperature is less than 0.1 db for temperatures between 50° F. and 120° F., and is less than 0.5 db for temperatures as low as 32° F.

#### DESCRIPTION OF CIRCUITS

The new instrument by itself does not constitute a complete volume indicator but must have certain simple circuits associated with it. Two forms which these circuits may take are illustrated in Fig. 18. One volume indicator may, of course, have both circuits with arrangements to select either by means of a key or switch.

Diagram 18A shows a high impedance arrangement intended for bridging across lines. As noted above about 3600 ohms of series resistance has been removed from the instrument and must be supplied externally in order to obtain the required ballistic characteristics. This was done in order to provide a point where the impedance is the same in both directions, for the insertion of an adjustable attenuator. A portion of the series resistance is made adjustable as shown by the slide wire in the diagram. This is for the purpose of facilitating accurate adjustment of the sensitivity to compensate for small differences between instruments and any slight changes which may occur with time. The particular arrangement shown in the diagram has a range of +4 to +26 vu for readings at the 0 vu or 100 point on the instrument scale, and an input impedance of about 7500 ohms.

Diagram 18B shows a low impedance arrangement in which

---  
\*\* Munsell Book of Color - Munsell Color Company, Baltimore, Maryland, 1929.

by adding a transformer the sensitivity has been increased by 10 vu at the expense of decreasing the input impedance to 600 ohms. The circuit is so designed that the impedance facing the instrument is the same as in diagram A, so that the proper dynamic characteristics are obtained. This arrangement, being low impedance, cannot be bridged across a through line, but must be used where it can terminate a circuit. It is useful for measuring the transmission loss or gain of a circuit on sine wave measuring currents, and also for measurements of volume level where it is connected to a spare outlet of a program bridge circuit, as shown in Fig. 19. Program bridge circuits, one form of which is illustrated in the Figure, are commonly employed in the Bell System when it is desired to feed a program from one line simultaneously into a number of other lines. The bridge circuit which is illustrated consists of a network of resistances so designed that the volume level into each of the outgoing lines is the same, that the impedance presented to each is the correct value or 600 ohms, and that the attenuation through the network between any two of the outlets is great.

A picture of a volume indicator which is provided with both of the circuits shown in Fig. 18 is illustrated in Fig. 20.

Fig. 21 shows a group of new standard volume indicators installed in a key network station.

#### CONCLUSION

This paper has described a new volume indicator which is inexpensive and whose characteristics are thought to represent a good practical compromise for a general purpose instrument of this kind. It has been commented upon favorably by all who have had any experience with it. It has been adopted as standard by the two largest broadcasting companies and the Bell System, and it is hoped that other users of volume indicators will be sufficiently impressed by the merits of the new instrument and by the desirability of standardization in this field, to join in its adoption. It is proposed to present the new standards to the standards committees of the various national organizations for adoption.